

FINAL Meeting Minutes  
Rivanna River Basin Commission  
October 22, 2009  
6:00 pm – 8:00 p.m.  
Charlottesville High School "A-Commons"

Field trip and presentation by the City of Charlottesville's Environmental Administration

4:15	Meet at main entrance to CHS
4:30	Outside tour of CHS retrofits (bioswale and rainwater harvesting-to-playing field irrigation) partially funded by NFWF grant
5:00 – 5:30	Presentation on the Charlottesville Water Resources Protection Program (WRPP) in "A-Commons"
5:30 – 6:00	Box lunches available

---

**Attendance**

***Rivanna River Basin Commission (Commission) Members***

***Present:***

Mr. John Martin, Albemarle County Citizen  
Mr. Satyendra Huja, Charlottesville City Council  
Ms. Roberta Savage, Greene County Citizen  
Mr. Robert Runkle, Culpeper Soil and Water Conservation District Director  
Mr. Andy Wilson, Fluvanna County Citizen  
Mr. John E. Easter II, Thomas Jefferson Soil and Water Conservation District Director  
Mr. John Gooch, Fluvanna County Board of Supervisors  
Ms. Sally Thomas, Albemarle County Board of Supervisors  
Mr. Keith Lancaster, City of Charlottesville Citizen  
Mr. Lindsay Dorrier, Albemarle County Board of Supervisors

***Absent:***

Ms. Holly Edwards, Charlottesville City Council  
Mr. Marvin F. Moss, Fluvanna County Board of Supervisors  
Mr. Carl Schmitt, Greene County Board of Supervisors  
Mr. Clarence Peyton, Greene County Board of Supervisors

***Others present:***

Ms. Leslie Middleton, RRBC Executive Director  
Ms. Rose Brown, RRBC Recording Secretary  
Mr. John Murphy, StreamWatch (RRBC TAC)  
Mr. Sam Austin, USGS (RRBC TAC)  
Mr. Bill Kittrell, The Nature Conservancy (RRBC TAC)  
Mr. Dan Frisbee, City of Charlottesville  
Mr. Greg Harper, Albemarle County (RRBC TAC)  
Ms. Mary Rice, RRBC Bookkeeper  
Mr. Casey Webb, community member

1. Call to order

Ms. Savage called the meeting to order at 6:05 pm and reported that she would serve as Chair since Mr. Moss unable to attend tonight's meeting.

2. Roll call

A roll call was conducted.

3. Determination of quorum

It was determined that there was a quorum. Ms. Savage reminded the members of the RRBC that as a local public body, we operate under the Virginia Conflict of Interest and Freedom of Information Acts. Should any member have a personal interest in any specific matter before the Commission, that member is asked to state this for the record and abstain from discussion and vote as appropriate.

4. Approval of the agenda

TAB A

Ms. Middleton noted some last-minute changes that were made to the agenda and distributed the most current agenda. Mr. Easter stated that there were two #11s in the agenda. The agenda was approved with this noted.

5. Comments from the public.

There were no comments from the public.

6. Response to comments from the public.

None were necessary.

7. Review of the minutes of the preceding meeting

TAB B

**Action:** Mr. Huja moved to approve the July 23, 2009 meeting minutes, and Mr. Easter seconded the motion. All were in favor, and the minutes were approved.

8. Report of the Chair

TAB C

Ms. Savage reported that Mr. Moss extends his personal best wishes to Ms. Thomas and thanks her for her work as chair of the RRBC. Ms. Savage introduced Mary Rice and Bill Kittrell. Other members of public introduced themselves. Ms. Savage also acknowledged the new StreamWatch report and noted that John Murphy would be speaking later in the meeting.

Recognition of the outgoing Chair

Ms. Savage formally recognized the work of Ms. Thomas as the first chair of RRBC who served from 2007 to 2009. On behalf of the RRBC, Ms. Savage gave Ms. Thomas a certificate of recognition and appreciation and reported that the Commission had made a donation to the League of Women Voters Education Fund for the Treva Cromwell Memorial Lecture Series.

9. Fiscal Reports (Middleton/Rice)

TAB D

Ms. Middleton called Commissioners' attention to the Executive Director's Update on RRBC Financial Management (TAB D) that outlined progress during the last quarter. Notably, during the process of setting up payroll for the new staff, it was determined that it was necessary for the Commission to become its own fiscal agent (i.e. transfer fiscal agency from TJSWCD to the Commission itself, as permitted by the enabling legislation). This in turn required hiring bookkeeping services, which have been obtained from Ms. Mary Rice. A draft of the RRBC Accounting Policies and Procedures has been developed and is part of the Commissioner packet. Ms. Middleton reported that a RRBC must be prepared for a professional A-133 audit, as per federal grant requirements.

Ms. Middleton went over the budget in the format prepared for its approval during the July 23, 2009 Commission and compared this to the new (QuickBooks) format that will now be used. Discrepancies in the budget resulting in it not balancing were discovered and corrected during the process of transfer of fiscal agency from TJSWCD to the RRBC. The budget now balances. Ms. Savage reminded Commissioners that this budget has already been approved and that only the format is different

**Action:** Approve Fiscal Reports

Mr. Gooch moved to approve the budget as presented, and Ms. Thomas seconded the motions. All approved and the motion passed.

Ms. Middleton gave an overview of the 1<sup>st</sup> quarter financial reports, including Projected Revenue and Summary of Expenses, Statement of Financial Position, Budget versus Actual, and Profit and Loss by Class. She reported that RRBC has not yet requested grant funding from NFWF, but will do so before the end of the year. Mr. Huja asked for clarification of whether any funds have been spent on grants yet. Ms. Middleton replied that RRBC has spent labor and expenses on the grant programs, and these costs have been covered by money in the bank from the FY 2010 grant of \$73,000. She also reported that the two grants from Virginia DCR are on a reimbursable basis (unlike the NFWF grant, in which funding can be requested "in advance.")

In addition to helping maintain adequate cash-flow, the funding from TNC allows RRBC to contribute its own money as cash "match" to the various grants, which was part of each proposal and is always viewed positively by grantors who like to see that their grants leverage additional dollars. In addition, "project match" can come in the form of volunteer time or other "in-kind" donations to a project (e.g. use of equipment and facilities). Ms. Thomas explained that when grantors ask for "match," they are asking the organization to spend some of their own money for the program. Mr. Huja said that we would need to keep careful track of promised "match" so that we only spend what is agreed to match (no more).

Ms. Rice explained that the Profit and Loss by Class Report shows that accounting has been done on an accrual basis (versus actual) that reflects in this case grant programs in which grant money has been awarded but not yet received. Ms. Middleton said that each grant proposal included an amount of "project match" (cash and in-kind) and that the grantor expects this to be achieved. In the case of the NFWF grant for \$725,000, RRBC promised \$3.2M of matching

funds and was able to do so because of the large costs of design/construction of the stormwater BMP's. Each locality receiving NFWF funding from RRBC as "cost-share" from the BMP's is being required to document this match, with specific language in the Memorandum of Understanding. Mr. Huja asked if RRBC has a Treasurer yet. Ms. Savage said that this would be discussed shortly, but that once the Treasurer and Finance Committee are selected, the time spent by the full Commission on financial matters during meetings will be reduced.

Mr. Wilson moved to accept the first quarter fiscal reports . Mr. Gooch seconded the motion. All voted in favor.

**Action:** Approve sub-contract with Center for Watershed Protection for NFWF grant components.

Ms. Middleton explained that this is for specific work under the NFWF grant for technical assistance for Greene and Fluvanna counties as outlined in the grant proposal.

Ms. Thomas moved to approve this sub-contract. Mr. Huja seconded the motion. All voted in favor.

### **Report from The Nature Conservancy, Bill Kittrell**

Mr. Bill Kittrell, Director of Conservation for The Nature Conservancy (TNC) in Virginia, was introduced. Mr. Kittrell explained that he had been Mr. Ridge Schuyler's supervisor at TNC and explained that although both Mr. Schuyler and Ms. Diane Frisbee moved to different positions, TNC is still very committed to ensuring that the work of the RRBC continues. Mr. Kittrell is RRBC's liaison with TNC and serves on the RRBC TAC and has been working with Ms. Middleton on related projects. Mr. Kittrell reported that TNC, especially through Mr. Jean Lorber who is on the land protection staff, is still active in the Piedmont region. In addition, Mr. Chris Bruce of TNC has been coordinating the production of the Rivanna Land Cover Map, which will further inform TNC's conservation activities in the watershed. Mr. Kittrell and Ms. Middleton are working to expand the Healthy Waters program with additional local funding by building a direct relationship with the EPA Healthy Watersheds program manager. Finally, Mr. Kittrell reported that the funding agreement for transfer of money to the Rivanna River Basin Commission is being modified to reflect the fact that RRBC is now its own fiscal agent and subsequent transfer of funds will be easier as a result.

#### 10. Reports of committees

- Executive Committee TAB E

**Action:** (Executive Committee) approval of 09 Sep 23 EC Minutes

Ms. Thomas reported a typo on page 2 (Report of chair). With this correction, Ms. Thomas moved to approve the minutes. Mr. Lancaster seconded the motions. All were in favor.

**Info:** Approve Accounting Policies and Principles v5

Ms. Savage asked Commissioners to review the draft RRBC Accounting Policies and Principles, noting that this document and the procedures will continue to be improved as accounting methodology matures.

- Technical Advisory Committee TAB F

Update on TAC past/future plans from Sam Austin, Greg Harper, and John Murphy (StreamWatch)

Sam Austin, Chair of the TAC and scientists at USGS, recapped the history of the TAC's deliberations since 2007. In 2007, the TAC undertook a Rivanna threat assessment analysis. Within the TAC, consensus emerged that altered hydrology and associated sedimentation are the primary threats to the watershed. In 2008, additional questions were formulated regarding the relationship between stormwater flow and excess sedimentation; the sources of sediment; the impacts of "legacy sediment"; ways to target conservation and restoration efforts; and what additional data are needed. In 2008, the TAC also created four sub-committees to focus attention on particular areas: Watershed Modeling, Rainwater Harvesting Monitoring, and Workshops. Late in 2008, the TAC recommended to the full Commission that it encourage localities to focus on reducing stormwater volume through the use of specific BMP's. With the addition of RRBC staff and the successful award of the NFWF grant, the activity of the TAC has been largely to provide technical support to the RRBC staff in deliberations about stormwater monitoring, helping to conduct the developer roundtables and rainwater harvesting workshops, and working with staff on the development of incentive programs.

Greg Harper, Chair of the RRBC TAC Watershed Modeling Subcommittee (ND Albemarle County's Water Resources Manager), then provided an overview of the relationship between land cover and stream health. He the TAC understands that there is such a relationship, but hard part is being able to define the details of that relationship, some of which has been done by StreamWatch. The TAC Watershed Modeling subcommittee has been focused on stormwater management, posing this question: If stormwater were perfectly managed, would streams be in perfect healthy? What are the other impacts on the streams? knowhow does land use affect or contribute to the impairment of our streams? The Land Cover Map being developed by WorldView Solutions, Inc. for RRBC and its partners will help the TAC better understand these questions

The Land Cover Map will convert 2009 aerial images (with 1-meter resolution) to GIS shape files. Map layers will include deciduous forest, evergreen forest, open land, water, impervious surface plus additional land uses, including forest harvest, pine plantations, bare earth, golf course, orchard/vineyards. Mr. Wilson asked if one could look at these layers individually? Mr. Harper reported that anyone with the GIS software would be able to do so. The map will be used by local governments, StreamWatch, RRBC, and The Nature Conservancy. The RRBC TAC will use the map to further correlate stream health with land use and for use with hydrologic models. Users will be able to analyze stream buffers, critical slopes, and calculate impervious surfaces for such uses as a potential stormwater utility fee. It may be used also to assess the threats in the watershed in order to prioritize land protection.

Ms. Thomas asked if the map would delineate subwatersheds. Mr. Harper said that users will have to create those boundaries but that it is fairly easy to do. Mr. Dorrier asked if the map will be updated every year. Mr. Harper said there is the goal to update it every 2 years when new aerial data are available. Automation of land cover recognition should make it cheaper to purchase next time. Mr. Huja asked who would maintain the data? Mr. Harper said that this has not yet been decided.

John Murphy, member of RRBC TAC and Director of StreamWatch, distributed the most recent StreamWatch report that is based on data collected between 2006 and 2009. Approx 70% of Rivanna watershed streams are not meeting the VA water quality standard set by DEQ. However, there is no detectable trend over the last 6 years of monitoring. Mr. Murphy also noted that reference condition sites (which are in watersheds that are 98-99% forested) are noticeably better than even the healthiest of our Rivanna streams (monitoring by the StreamWatch program). Even Rivanna streams that are meeting the VA water quality standard (able to support aquatic life) are still degraded relative to reference streams. StreamWatch will use the Land Cover Map to better understand the details of why certain streams (especially those in suburban and exurban watersheds) score higher or lower than expected. StreamWatch is undertaking the "Land Use Effects Study," which will be published early in 2010. StreamWatch has collected various data for this study and hopes that the analysis will help us to understand how much disturbance a stream can tolerate and how localities can better manage waterways.

Mr. Murphy also reported on the StreamWatch Rivanna Data Center, which is a compilation of as much Rivanna data as has been readily available into a Microsoft Access database. About 85% of the data consolidation is done. Over the next few months, representatives from localities will be trained in how to use the Data Center, including complex queries. Mr. Gooch asked for the definition of "exurban." Mr. Murphy described exurban as "between suburban and rural" and is a term that planners use to describe countryside with 5-acre to 20-acre parcels.

Sam Austin then talked about possible next steps for the TAC, which may include delving further into developing 1-year and 5-year goals, create baseline and synoptic reports for watershed conditions, and/or continue its current work in developing assessment tools for the watershed. Ms. Middleton added that in order to ensure that the TAC interested and engaged, it is important to put emphasis on on-the-ground efforts.

Ms. Thomas noted that models are being used to analyze the health of the Chesapeake Bay, and often the science is simply not robust enough to identify what can be done to make streams healthier. She said that these kinds of data [land cover map, StreamWatch data] are so valuable because then public policy can be based on reality instead of theory. Mr. Murphy said that a lot of watershed professionals can extrapolate from studies around the country, but asked if local data are more persuasive to the community than studies from elsewhere? Ms. Thomas said that she thought so. Mr. Huja offered appreciation for the TAC's hard work and excellent data. Mr. Dorrier wanted to ensure that the Land Cover Map user-friendly and can be used by all localities. Mr. Austin said that RRBC is poised to do some important work in this watershed, especially since the Phase 5 Chesapeake Bay Model is being reevaluated because it is not based on very

local data and the “theory” has not been jiving with the reality on the landscape. Mr. Austin closed by inviting the RRBC to guide TAC as much as possible.

**Action:** Recommendation to join the StreamWatch Steering Committee

Ms. Middleton reported that StreamWatch has invited RRBC to become a non-voting member of StreamWatch Steering Committee and recommend that the Commission accepts this invitation. With the valuable Rivanna work that StreamWatch undertakes, Ms. Middleton would like to see a formal relationship between RRBC and StreamWatch to assure good communication. Mr. Huja asked what the implications would be of such a relationship? Ms. Middleton said that she would receive more slightly more emails and go to the Steering Committee meetings, but there are no funding obligations implied or required. Mr. Huja moved that RRBC join the StreamWatch Steering Committee as a non-voting member. Mr. Gooch seconded the motion.

Mr. Dorrier asked if this would affect our tax status? Ms. Middleton said this would have no effect on our tax status. Ms. Savage asked whether, since RRBC contracts with StreamWatch, there a potential conflict of interest? Ms. Middleton said that serving on the Steering Committee would be no more complex nor make RRBC more vulnerable to conflict of interest than any of the other RRBC relationships with sub-contracts. Mr. Murphy reported that the StreamWatch Steering Committee does not perceive a conflict of interest. Mr. Easter asked if the nonvoting status might affect a “perceived” conflict of interest? Mr. Murphy said that Steering Committees are expected contribute to StreamWatch but as a non-voting member, RRBC would not be expected to do so. In 2006, StreamWatch decided that it should maintain some separation from RRBC since the Commission is a policy recommending board and, because it is governed by the localities, it is more of a political body than StreamWatch. StreamWatch wants to remain wholly focused on technical issues and maintain its scientific integrity. Ms. Savage asked for the vote on the motion on the floor. All voted in favor of joining the StreamWatch Steering Committee as a non-voting member.

11. Report of the Executive Director TAB H

Ms. Middleton did not present her PowerPoint presentation in the interest to staying on schedule and invited Commissioners to read the written report. She reported that she is not quite ready to advertise for administrative assistance but is currently seeking volunteers and asked Commissioners to help get the word out about these needs. She noted that there will be a second webinar and workshop about rainwater harvesting on Oct 27<sup>th</sup>. She reported that she is working with the Virginia Department of Health to encourage promulgation of rainwater harvesting guidelines applicable throughout the Commonwealth. With four agencies (VDOH, VDCR, VDEQ, Virginia DHCD) involved in this process, revisionist has been difficult for VDOH to do so. However, RRBC expects to meet in early November with VDOH to help keep the process moving. Ms. Middleton reported that the website is very close to completion.

Ms. Savage noted the Memorandum to File (TAB H – September 30, 2009, updated October 18, 2009) entitled, “Legal and fiscal issues related to independent local entity without political subdivision status.” Ms. Middleton reminded Commissioners that the Commission is a 501c3,

but rather an entity of local government. She said that she trying to obtain a reading from the state Attorney General that confirms that private donations to the RRBC are considered tax-deductible. Mr. Dorrier asked how the RRBC is different from the TJPDC or Chesapeake Bay Foundation? Ms. Middleton explained that PDC's are organized by political subdivisions of the state and are under the wing of a state agency, the Department of Housing and Community Development. The Chesapeake Bay Foundation is a 501©3 non-profit organization. Mr. Wilson asked if the RRBC is different from the Rappahannock River Basin Commission? Ms. Middleton said that the RRBC is the same kind of entity, though it does include members of the General Assembly and has chosen to have a part-time coordinator as opposed to staff and thus does not take on significant projects. Ms. Savage offered that some of the legal questions could be taken up at the next meeting of the Executive Committee. Mr. Dorrier asked if the City or one of the counties help with the legal matters. Ms. Middleton said that some of these questions can be addressed by local legal staff, some by the Commonwealths Attorneys, and others only by the state Attorney General.

12. Unfinished business TAB J

**Action:** Approve Bylaws Changes TAB E

Ms. Middleton noted that the changes are to add the position of Treasurer, to create the Finance Committee, and to correct an inadvertent change to the duties of the Vice-Chair that occurs when the Bylaws were last amended. Mr. Huja asked if it were *required* for a non-member to be on the Finance Committee? Ms. Middleton said that the proposed changes state that it is not required, but that RRBC *may* have a non-member on the committee. Ms. Savage offered that it is good to have a non-member looking over an organization's finances and that, at least in the non-profit world, this is becoming a requirement. Mr. Martin asked how would a person get appointed to the Finance Committee? Ms. Middleton said that this would be by vote of the Commission as with all other RRBC committees. Mr. Martin said that it should be explicitly spelled out that appointments are by vote. Mr. Gooch said he did not think was necessary. Mr. Huja moved to adopt the proposed changes. Mr. Gooch seconded the motion. All were in favor.

**Action:** Accept nominations for Treasurer and appoint Treasurer

Ms. Savage invited nominations for the position of Treasurer. No nominations were made. Ms. Savage said that this matter will go back to the Executive Committee for further discussion, and try to nominate a treasurer. Mr. Dorrier asked if the RRBC will hire an auditor? Ms. Middleton said that she and Ms. Rice will consult with an auditor to confirm that financial management ins in according with requirements for the federal audit of FY 2010 that will occur in FY 2011.

**Action:** Nominate and elect members of Finance Committee

Ms. Savage said that this will be referred back to the Executive Committee for further discussion.

13. New business TAB K

**Action:** Approved 2010 Meeting dates and location

There was discussion about the meeting dates, time, and duration for 2010. Mr. Wilson said that it was important to limit meetings to the present 2 hours. Ms. Thomas said that it has been difficult to include all the business of the RRBC as well as updates from the TAC 2 hours. Mr. Huja suggested that TAC updates should be no longer than 30 minutes. Mr. Wilson added that if this were so, there would be 1.5 hours remaining for business of the Commission. Ms. Savage noted that as the administrative systems are developed, there will be less time required during RRBC meetings to discuss these matters. The Commissioners agreed to continue meeting on the third Thursdays of the months of January, April, July, and October, from 6 to 8 pm. Mr., Gooch reported that he has another regular meeting on the afternoon of 3<sup>rd</sup> Thursdays, but this should not pose a regular conflict for him. Ms. Middleton said that sometime in 2010, RRBC will have another pre-meeting fieldtrip to showcase some of the water quality efforts in Albemarle County.

**14. Closed session for RRBC personnel Matters**

Mr. Wilson moved and Mr. Easter seconded the motion to enter into closed session pursuant to the Virginia Freedom of Information Act (Section 2.2- 3711A.1 of the Code of Virginia). A vote in favor was cast by Commissioners Martin, Huja, Savage, Runkle, Wilson, Easter, Gooch, Thomas, Lancaster, and Dorrier.

Ms. Savage then authorized the closed session for the purpose of discussion of personnel at 8:14 p.m.

[Closed session] Personnel Matters

At 8:21 p.m., Mr. Dorrier moved to come out of closed session. Mr. Easter seconded the motion. A vote in favor was cast by Commissioners Martin, Huja, Savage, Runkle, Wilson, Easter, Gooch, Thomas, Lancaster, and Dorrier.

The vote in favor was cast by Mr. Martin, Mr. Huja, Ms. Savage, Mr. Runkle, Mr. Wilson, Mr. Easter, Mr. Gooch, Ms. Thomas, Mr. Lancaster, and Mr. Dorrier.

Ms. Thomas moved that the Rivanna River Basin Commission certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge and with no individual member dissenting, at the just concluded closed session:

1. Only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements under the Freedom of Information Act were discussed; and
2. Only such public business matters as were identified in the motion by which the closed session was convened were heard, discussed or considered.

Mr. Gooch seconded the motion. The vote in favor was cast in turn by Mr. Martin, Mr. Huja, Ms. Savage, Mr. Runkle, Mr. Wilson, Mr. Easter, Mr. Gooch, Ms. Thomas, Mr. Lancaster, and Mr. Dorrier.

**Action:** Approve Executive Director's Benefit Package

## **15. Other business of concern to Commissioners**

Mr. Huja noted that he got the RRBC Commissioner packet only yesterday which did not leave enough time to review it and reiterated his request for a hard copy at least a week ahead of time. Ms. Middleton noted that all the packet documents will be available on the website a week before the next meeting and asked if Commissioners were willing to read the documents online? can commissioners read online? There was a discussion of the various ways for Commissioners to receive their packets:

- by US mail
- by picking up packet at RRBC office
- by email with downloadable attachments
- email notification to visit the RRBC website for documents to download

Ms. Middleton affirmed that she will make a concerted effort to get the packets to Commissioners at least a week in advance for the next meeting and has made a note of Commissioners' personal preferences for receipt of packet materials. Ms. Savage noted to that future packets will not contain as many details regarding RRBC administrative processes.

## **16. Adjournment**

Mr. Gooch moved and Mr. Easter seconded the motion to adjourn the meeting. All voted in favor. The meeting adjourned at 8:28 p.m.

### **Attachments:**

A\_RRBC Agenda 09Oct22  
B\_RRBC\_Minutes\_09July23\_DRAFT  
D\_RRBC\_Bylaws\_Revised\_Changes\_09Oct22  
D1\_RRBC\_09Oct22\_ED\_Financial Report  
D2\_RRBC\_FY2010\_Budget  
D3\_RRBC\_YTD\_Budget\_vs\_Actual\_09Oct22  
D4\_RRBC\_CWPcontract\_09Oct22  
E1\_RRBC\_EC\_ExDirRpt\_09Sep23  
E1\_RRBC\_EC\_09Sep23\_DRAFT  
E1\_TAC\_09Sep15\_Ecosystem Services  
E1\_TAC\_09Sep15\_VCU\_INSTAR  
E2\_RRBC\_Accounting\_Policy\_v5  
H\_RRBC\_ExecutiveDirectorReport\_09Oct22  
H\_RRBC\_Summary\_of\_Issues\_09  
H2\_2010\_Work\_Plan\_Draft  
J\_RRBC\_StreamWatch\_09Oct22  
K\_RRBC\_2010\_Meeting\_Dates

## **Meeting Materials**

### Agenda

[A\\_RRBC\\_Agenda\\_09Oct22.pdf](#)

### Previous Meeting Minutes

[B\\_RRBC\\_Minutes\\_09July23\\_DRAFT.pdf](#)

### Financial Reports

[D1\\_RRBC\\_09Oct22\\_ED\\_Financial\\_Report.pdf](#)

[D2\\_RRBC\\_FY2010\\_Budget.pdf](#)

[D3\\_RRBC\\_YTD\\_Budget\\_vs\\_Actual\\_09Oct22.pdf](#)

[D4\\_RRBC\\_CWPcontract\\_09Oct22.pdf](#)

[E2\\_RRBC\\_Accounting\\_Policy\\_v5.pdf](#)

[E3\\_RRBC\\_Bylaws\\_Revised\\_Treasurer\\_09Oct22.pdf](#)

### **Executive Director's Reports**

[E1\\_RRBC\\_EC\\_ExDirRpt\\_09Sep23.pdf](#)

[H\\_RRBC\\_ExecutiveDirectorReport\\_09Oct22.pdf](#)

[H2\\_2010\\_Work\\_Plan\\_Draft.pdf](#)

[J\\_RRBC\\_StreamWatch\\_09Oct22.pdf](#)

### **Executive Committee's Reports and Minutes**

[E1\\_RRBC\\_EC\\_09Sep23\\_DRAFT.pdf](#)

### **Other Meeting Materials**

[E1\\_TAC\\_09Sep15\\_Ecosystem\\_Services.pdf](#)

[E1\\_TAC\\_09Sep15\\_VCU\\_INSTAR.pdf](#)

[K\\_RRBC\\_2010\\_Meeting\\_Dates.pdf](#)